

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,711	01/18/2001	Takashi Haga	107101-00028	4744	
7	590 05/11/2004	EXAMINER TRAN, LEN			
,	K, KINTNER, PLOT				
1050 CONNEC SUITE 400	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5339			1725		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED MAY 1 1 2004 TC 1700

•	•				
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/761,7	11	HAGA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	Г	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Len Trai		1725	-
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to receive the office later than three months after the may be adequated the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the stated will apply and within the appropriate the approp	rent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 January 200	<u>91</u> .		
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is n	on-final.	÷.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from co			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupte oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) he drawing(s) l rection is requir	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burd See the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome afterence was included in the first sentence of	ents have beents have been iority documeau (PCT Rulist of the cert estic priority unfirst sentence provisional apestic priority un	en received. en received in Application received in Application to the transfer of the specification of the specification of the specification and the specification of the speci	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(statent Application (PTC	s) D-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-6, and 9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 –12 of copending Application No. 10/235,887. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US application number '887 disclose a recirculation pipe for introducing the gas with the branch flange. It is obvious that the pipe is made of metal, since it has been known in the art and in addition the pipe is connected in thermal contact with the exhaust pipe.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akazaki et al (US 5,946,906).

Akazaki et al disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas comprising a bypass exhaust gas passage, switch-over valve, branched from an exhaust pipe, an adsorbent installed in the bypass exhaust gas passage, and a recirculation pipe to recirculate unburned components. The recirculation pipe is inherently made of metal and is connected to the bypass exhaust gas passage close to the exhaust pipe. The recirculation pipe is connected to an air intake system of the

Art Unit: 1725

engine at one end and is connected to the chamber, at the other end. The recirculation pipe is connected to the body of the engine by a metal support (abstract and figure 1, col. 4, lines 58-65, col. 16, lines 7-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al (US '906) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Voss et al (US 6,256,984).

Akazaki et al disclose the claimed invention above in paragraph 3, but fail to teach a coating or film ion the inner wall of the pipe.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

However, Voss et al disclose a coating on the inner wall of an exhaust pipe (abstract) for the purpose of thermal insulating the pipe.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a coating or film as taught by Voss et al, in Akazaki et al in order to insulate the pipe.

7. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akazaki et al (US '906) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Usui (US 5,676,176).

Akazaki et al disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas comprising a bypass exhaust gas passage, switch-over valve, branched from an exhaust pipe, an adsorbent installed in the bypass exhaust gas passage, and a recirculation pipe to recirculate unburned components. The recirculation pipe is connected to the bypass exhaust gas passage close to the exhaust pipe. The recirculation pipe is connected to an air intake system of the engine at one end and is connected to the chamber, at the other end. The recirculation pipe is connected to the body of the engine by a metal support (abstract and figure 1, col. 4, lines 58-65, col. 16, lines 7-10).

Akazaki et al lacks the disclosure of the pipe being made of metal and having a corrugated portion.

However, Usui discloses a corrugated EGR pipes, made of metal, used in automobiles for the purpose of withstand vibrations (col. 1, lines 5-11).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,711

Art Unit: 1725

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a corrugated pipe as taught by Usui, in Akazaki et al in order to withstand vibration due to its flexibility.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran

Examiner

Kiley Stoner A4 1785 Unit 1725

- My Stoner A4 1785 Unit 1725

LT

February 4, 2004

	٠	OIP	Ē					ş	Sheet	<u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
FORM PTO-	1449		S. DEPARTM	ENT OF COMMERCE	ATTY. DOCKET	NO.		SERIAL		
			2001 PATENT AND T	TRADEMARK OFFICE	107101-000	39		09/76	1,711	
1107	r 0E	REFERENCES		LICANIT	APPLICANT					
LIS	UF	\d	CINTO BY APP	LICANI	Takashi HA	GA et al.				
		(Use several shee	ets if necessary)		FILING DATE					
					January 18,	2001				
	1	T	U.S. PAT	TENT DOCUMEN	TS		,	Τ		
EXAMINER INITIAL	<u> </u>	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAM	Ε	CLASS	SUB- CLASS		FILIN	
	AA.			<u> </u>		ļ	 	┼		
	AB	 		<u> </u>						
	AC					ļ	ļ			
	AD							1_		
•	AE	,								
	AF	į.				-00				
			FOREIGN P	PATENT DOCUME	ENTS				-	
		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNT		CLASS	SUB- CLASS	TR/ YES	ANSLAT	
V	AG	10-153112	06/1998	Japan	<u></u>	00.00	00.00	Yes		
দ	AH	2-275043	11/1990	Japan				Yes		
k	Ai	8-71427	03/1996	Japan				Yes		
น	AJ	7-33875	08/1995	Japan	,			Yes		
u	AK	10-148608	06/1998	Japan	0			Yes		
प	AL	10-159544	06/1998	Japan				Yes		
		OTHER REFI	RENCES (Includin	ng Author, Title, Da	ate. Pertinent	Pages. Et	c.)			
	AM									
	AN						~ 	7	· · · ·	
	AO						1/00 MAIL ROOM	1.PR -	REC	,
								9	17	
EXAMINER	X	enha		DATE CON	SIDERED		ROOM	2001	ËD	
*EXAMINE		itial if reference conside		ion is in conformance v	with MPEP 609; C				in	

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 09/761,711		Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HAGA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
Len Tran		1725	Page 1 of 1			

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,946,906	09-1999	Akazaki et al.	60/278
	В	US-5,676,176	10-1997	Usui, Masayoshi	138/121
	С	US-6,256,984	07-2001	Voss et al.	60/299
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N	·				
	0					
	.b					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.